

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	92C 7253	Sitting Judge if Than Assigned Judge	JUDGE PLUNKET
Case Number		Date	11/13/92
Case Title	Securities and Exchange Commission v. Brenman, Raskin, Friedlob & Tenenbaum, P.C., Respondent		

[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, or party plaintiff, ; and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]

MOTION:

Applicant SEC's Motion for an Order to Require Compliance with Subpoena Duces Tecum

Sent for Microfilming

DOCKET ENTRY:

(1)	Filed motion of [use listing in MOTION box above]	92C 7253
(2)	Brief in support of motion	NOV 13 1992
(3)	Answer brief to motion due _____ Reply to answer brief due _____	NOV 17 1992
(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Ruling on _____ set for _____ at _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Hearing	
(5)	Status hearing <input type="checkbox"/> held <input type="checkbox"/> continued to <input type="checkbox"/> set for <input type="checkbox"/> re-set for _____ at _____	
(6)	Pretrial conf. <input type="checkbox"/> held <input type="checkbox"/> continued to <input type="checkbox"/> set for <input type="checkbox"/> re-set for _____ at _____	
(7)	Trial <input type="checkbox"/> Set for <input type="checkbox"/> re-set for _____ at _____	
(8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Bench Trial <input type="checkbox"/> Jury Trial <input type="checkbox"/> Hearing held and continued to _____ at _____	
(9)	This case is dismissed <input type="checkbox"/> without <input type="checkbox"/> with prejudice and without costs <input type="checkbox"/> by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> pursuant to <input type="checkbox"/> FRCP 4(j) (failure to serve) <input type="checkbox"/> General Rule 21 (want of prosecution) <input type="checkbox"/> FRCP 41(a)(1) <input type="checkbox"/> FRCP 41(a)(2)	
(10)	[Other docket entry] Applicant SEC's motion for an order to Require Compliance with <u>Subpoena Duces Tecum</u> , Granted. Enter Order, Oral motion to admit Michael J. Riordan pro hac vice, granted.	
(11)	[For further detail see <input type="checkbox"/> order on the reverse of <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> order attached to the original minute order form.]	
<input type="checkbox"/> No notices required, advised in open court. <input type="checkbox"/> No notices required. <input type="checkbox"/> Notices mailed by judge's staff. <input type="checkbox"/> Notified counsel by telephone. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Docketing to mail notices. <input type="checkbox"/> Mail AO 450 form. <input type="checkbox"/> Copy to judge/magistrate Judge.		ED-5 RECEIVED FOR DOCKETING 92 NOV 13 PM 3:09 Date/time received in central Clerk's Office
courtroom deputy's Initials [Signature]		number of notices date docketed docketing dpty. initials date mailed notice mailing dpty. initials
		Document # 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,
Applicant,

v.

BRENMAN, RASKIN, FRIEDLOB &
TENENBAUM, P.C.,

Respondent.

CIVIL ACTION FILE
NO:

92C 7253

DOCKETED
NOV 16 1992

JUDGE PLUNKET

ORDER

This matter coming before the Court on Applicant, Securities and Exchange Commission's (Commission), Application for an Order to Require Compliance with the Subpoena Duces Tecum of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and on the Commission's Motion for an Order to Require Compliance with the Subpoena Duces Tecum of the Securities and Exchange Commission, this Court having reviewed the relevant pleadings and being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:


A. There being no grounds for any assertion of the attorney-client privilege, Respondent Brenman, Raskin, Friedlob & Tenenbaum, P.C. shall comply fully and completely with the Subpoena Duces Tecum dated March 26, 1992, issued by the



-2-

Securities and Exchange Commission, at such time and place as the Commission, through its designated officers, shall specify.

B. This Court shall have continuing jurisdiction over any disputes which may subsequently arise with respect to Brenman Raskin's compliance with this Order.



United States District Judge

Dated:

November 13, 1992
